Docket# TSC.	\ III	0156	<u>ح</u> د
Case#			

TSCA PCB NOTICE OF NONCOMPLIANCE CLOSE-OUT SUMMARY

Case Name

BGF Industries

Address

Altavista, VA 24517

Case Reviewer

Scott Rice

Inspection Date(s)

12/15/99

Date NON Issued

04/02/01

Response Dates 04/23/01 Respondent sent explanation indicating that the situation was caused by an oversight due to a change in company individuals responsible for hazardous waste management. Respondent supplied all requested annual documents, and indicated that their standard operating procedures for the inspection of the PCB capacitors have been revised to ensure that annual documents are kept up to date.

Violation Type(s)

6PK (Failure to maintain records)

Section(s) 761.

.180

Failure to

maintain inspection records and annual document logs

Compliance Actions Taken Respondent indicated that the standard operating procedures for the inspecting and documenting BGF's PCB capacitors have been revised to ensure similar incidents do not occur.

Check here is "Area of Concern" statement is attached.

Reviewer Scott Rice Scott Scot

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SCREENING CHECKLIST ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE - DO NOT RELEASE

Name and Location of Violator:	Program Contact: Scott Rice
BGF Industries, Inc.	ORC Contact: TBD
401 Amherst Ave.	
Altavista, VA 24517	
Industry SIC Code: 2221	
Date of Inspection: December 15, 1999	-
Recommended Action: NON	
Projected Quarter: <u>Second</u>	
SCREENING QUE	STIONS
1. What is the violation(s)? Were there violated manifest, DMRs, lab reports or training? Dispersional environmental agency of information otherwise undermine the regulatory scheme?	d the violation(s) deprive EPA or any state critical to its program operation or
The facility utilizes 133 PCB Large Capacitor required annual documents for these capacitor been investigating facilities along the Roanok fish advisories for PCBs. BGF will probably due to PCBs being discovered in a nearby ray	ors from 1991 through 1998. VADEQ has e/Staunton River with regard to the posted undergo PCB remediation at the facility
(b) (5) (b) (5)	

ļ	id the violation cause or contribute to actual harm to public health or the Is the violation continuing?
(b) (5)	
No - The viola	ation is not continuing at this time.
	peat or recurring violation or violator? Is there a history of non- Please Describe:
No - Unknowi	n for other programs.
4. Is this a sig	nificant/high priority violation according to the program's guidance?
(b) (5)	
	known or suspected violations of other regulatory requirements? Does this ti-media potential?
(b) (5)	
•	

6. Have there been any State	enforcement actions taken for the violation(s)?	
No - State is not authorized to implement the PCB program (not delegated).		
	dividuals employed by the company submitted false or cuments? Has there been any tampering with monitoring	
No.		
any individual employed by tl	knowing, willful or negligent conduct by the company or he company? Is there evidence that the violator was, or e requirement(s) which were violated? Please describe:	
9. Is it known whether the vic correct the violation in a time	olator has received compliance assistance and has failed to ly manner? Please describe:	
(b) (5)		
L., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

competitive advantage in its industr	may have obtained an economic benefit or an unfair ry from its noncompliance?
No.	
11. Should an enforcement action p SEP proposals that might be broug	proceed to the penalty stage, are there any known ht to the violator's attention?
No.	
	~
	mmunity Based, Sector Based or Regional Strategic in the current Enforcement MOA or elsewhere?
Planning Priority Area as reflected	-
Planning Priority Area as reflected	
Planning Priority Area as reflected	
Planning Priority Area as reflected	-
Planning Priority Area as reflected	

No Action Warning Letter

NOV

State Lead

AO

APO

Judicial Referral Criminal Referral